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• General introduction on nanowires
• Results and discussion
  - Convergence issues and solutions
  - Wannier interpolation
  - Effective masses
• Conclusions
Growth of nanowires: VLS

Schematic representation of VLS growth

Growth of a Ge nanowire

Growth of nanowires: VLS

The growth process can be controlled → several types of structures are possible.

Arrays of nanowires can be readily grown.

The oxide layer can be etched away by e.g. HF to obtain hydrogen passivated wires.


Nanowires: prototype devices

Nanowires can be used as high performance FETs, in new types of geometries. (a) Traditional FET (b) FinFET (c) Nanowire FinFET (d) Vertical FET

Array of vertical nanowire FETs

T. Bryllert et al., Nanotechnology 17, S227 (2006)

Nanowires: prototype devices

Due to the high performance of nanowire FETs and the large surface/volume ratio, they can be used as highly sensitive sensors. Demonstrated examples include single virus detection and the simultaneous detection of different disease markers.

Nanowires: prototype devices

More advanced devices have also been demonstrated

Crossed nanowire NOR gate

P-i-n type nanowire as solar cell
GW corrections to spectra

- LDA underestimates bandgap
- Solution: quasi-particle corrections: $G_0W_0$
- Traditionally GW correction at Gamma + uniform “scissor” shift

Is this accurate for nanowires (important for optical spectra)?

- GW computations are very resource intensive, especially in supercells with vacuum → new techniques are available in ABINIT to solve some of these problems

- Test these techniques on nanowires: example 0.5 nm Ge wire
Computational details

- 0.5, 1.2, and 1.6 nm nanowire in [110] direction
- Si or Ge
- H passivated
- $G_0W_0$ on top of LDA
- Model system: 0.5 nm nanowire: 6 Ge atoms, 8 H atoms, 16 occupied bands
Coulomb cutoff

Problem: Long range Coulomb interaction between neighbouring cells, as system is no longer neutral
→ Apply a cutoff to this interaction, as the interaction itself is unphysical (done in reciprocal space)

Parameters:
ictcoul 1
vcutgeo 0 0 1

S. Ismael-Beigi, PRB 73, 233103 (2006)
Extrapolar technique: GW gap

GW calculations require a lot of empty bands
Goal: reduce this number \(\rightarrow\) extrapolar technique

\(\Rightarrow\) Explained in detail yesterday during the talk of Berger

Replace the contributions of higher bands that are not treated explicitly with a common energy + application closure relation

Parameter: gwencomp

F. Bruneval and X. Gonze, PRB 78, 085125 (2005)
Extrapolar technique: GW gap

F. Bruneval and X. Gonze, PRB 78, 085125 (2005)
Extrapolar technique: $E_{16}$ and $E_{17}$
## Results: GW gaps

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wire diameter</th>
<th>$E_{\text{gap}}^{\text{LDA}}$</th>
<th>$\Delta E_{\text{gap}}^{\text{GW}}$</th>
<th>$E_{\text{gap}}^{\text{GW}}$</th>
<th>Literature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ge:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5 nm</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>4.87</td>
<td>4.5\textsuperscript{a}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2 nm</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>3.01\textsuperscript{b}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5 nm</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>5.55</td>
<td>5\textsuperscript{c}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2 nm</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>3.12\textsuperscript{d}-3.2\textsuperscript{c}-3.4\textsuperscript{e}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6 nm</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>2.2\textsuperscript{c}-2.32\textsuperscript{d}-2.33\textsuperscript{e}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Results: GW gap

Lines are fitted to

$$E_{gap} = E_{gap, bulk} + C \left( \frac{1}{d} \right)^\alpha$$

With thanks to E. Durgun and Ph. Ghosez for the B1-WC hybrid calculations
Wannier interpolation

- Full band structure, based on a limited number of GW corrected k points $\rightarrow$ need of a smart interpolation

- Not needed for LDA calculations: nscf calculations possible

- Construction of basis of Wannier functions using all available information
  - wave functions from LDA
  - eigenvalues of GW

- Use this basis to do the interpolation

Wannier interpolation

**k points: 1 1 5 no shift**

**k points: 1 1 10 no shift**

LDA as test
Wannier interpolation

Full quasi particle band structure

Diameter 0.5 nm
Wannier interpolation

Scissor operation works good around Γ for highest valence and lowest conduction band, but not for other k points and bands!
Effective masses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>diameter (nm)</th>
<th>$m_e^{LDA}$</th>
<th>$m_h^{LDA}$</th>
<th>$m_e^{QP}$</th>
<th>$m_h^{QP}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ge 0.5</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>-0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>-0.33</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si 0.5</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>-0.54</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- QP corrections to electron effective masses are small
- Hole effective masses are corrected significantly
Effective masses

- QP corrections to electron effective masses are small
- Hole effective masses are corrected significantly
Conclusions

• A Coulomb cutoff is necessary to achieve convergence
• The extrapolar technique can be used to speed up the calculations
• Full QP corrected band spectra can be obtained using a Wannier interpolation
• QP corrections are larger for smaller wires
• Corrections to hole effective masses can be large